UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.  | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 10/539,322  | 06/15/2005  | Paraskevas Dunias    | NL 021490            | 2724             |
| 24737 7590 10/13/2009 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 |             |                      | EXAMINER             |                  |
|   |             |                      | CLARK, MAYA ANGELICA |                  |
| BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510  |             |                      | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |             |                      | 3742                 |                  |
|   |             |                      |                      |                  |
|   |             |                      | MAIL DATE            | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |             |                      | 10/13/2009           | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)                            |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
|   | 10/539,322   | DUNIAS ET AL.                           |  |  |  |
| Notice of Abandonment   | Examiner   | Art Unit                                |  |  |  |
|   | MAYA CLARK   | 3742                                    |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app  |  | l e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e |  |  |  |
| This application is abandoned in view of:   |  |   |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office         <ul> <li>(a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not not to the proposed reply was received on, but it does not not not not not not not not not not</li></ul></li></ol> | failing or Transmission dated<br>month(s)) which expired on                        |   |  |  |  |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C  | n consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar<br>Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o | nendment which places the               |  |  |  |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).  |  |   |  |  |  |
| (d) 🛮 No reply has been received.   |  |   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>2.  Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a)  The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was</li></ul>   | 5).<br>received on (with a Certifica   | ate of Mailing or Transmission dated    |  |  |  |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance  | e of \$ is due.  |   |  |  |  |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$   |  |   |  |  |  |
| (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.  |  |   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37).</li> <li>(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on</li> </ul>   |  |   |  |  |  |
| after the expiration of the period for reply.   |  |   |  |  |  |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.   |  |   |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the<br/>the applicants.</li> </ol>  | e attorney or agent of record, the assi  | gnee of the entire interest, or all of  |  |  |  |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim  |  | e the period for seeking court review   |  |  |  |
| 7. X The reason(s) below:   |  |   |  |  |  |
| A call was made to Attorney Frank Keegan on October 8, 2009 to confirm that no response was filed.  |  |   |  |  |  |
| /TU B HOANG/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3742  | /MAYA CLARK/<br>Examiner, Art Unit 3742  |   |  |  |  |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra  | w the holding of abandonment under 37 (  | CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to  |  |  |  |